

# How to Train for the Shortcut, Not Just the Rule



Every safety trainer has delivered a session where the rule was clear and the workers already knew it. Wear the protection. Use the guard. Reposition the ladder. Follow the lockout procedure. Stay out of the line of fire. Report the near miss. Ask for help before the lift.

Then the trainer walks the floor a week later and sees the same shortcut again.

Not always dramatically. Often quietly. A worker reaches instead of climbing down. Someone steps into a pinch point for just a second. A driver skips a walkaround because they are behind schedule. A worker uses the wrong tool because the right one is across the facility. A supervisor sees it and says, “Be careful,” but work continues.

This is one of the most frustrating realities in safety training. Workers often know the rule, understand the risk, and still choose the shortcut. That does not make them careless or defiant. It means the shortcut is doing something for them.

It may be saving time. It may be reducing effort. It may be avoiding conflict. It may be helping them meet a production expectation. It may be a habit the crew has normalized over years. Until the training addresses why the shortcut exists, repeating the rule will only go so far.

That is why great safety trainers do not just train the rule. They train the shortcut.

## **Why shortcuts are so persistent**

Shortcuts survive because they often work, at least until they do not.

That is what makes them dangerous. A shortcut that fails immediately is easy to correct. A shortcut that works 200 times before it causes harm becomes part of the culture. People stop seeing it as a shortcut. They see it as experience, efficiency, or common sense.

A worker who has climbed down and repositioned a ladder every time may feel slow compared with the coworker who reaches “just a little.” A technician who follows

every step of a procedure may feel like they are holding up production when everyone else knows the faster workaround. A new employee may watch a tenured worker skip a step and assume that the written procedure is more flexible than the trainer suggested.

Over time, the shortcut becomes the real procedure.

This is why shortcut behaviour is not simply an individual problem. Research on shortcut behaviours describes them as actions that can increase efficiency while also carrying risk, which is exactly why people may choose them even when they understand the downside. (uwspace.uwaterloo.ca) The shortcut has a perceived benefit. If training only talks about the hazard and ignores the benefit, workers will hear the warning but return to the faster path when pressure rises.

## **A story safety trainers will recognize**

A warehouse supervisor once described a recurring issue with pallet wrapping. The procedure required workers to walk around the pallet, maintain clear footing, and avoid stepping over low product or loose wrap. The rule was simple. The training had been delivered. The hazard was obvious enough.

Still, workers kept stepping over the wrap instead of walking around. It saved maybe four seconds.

The safety manager retrained the group after a near miss. Everyone nodded. Everyone agreed. Nobody argued that stepping over loose wrap was safe. For a few days, the behaviour improved.

Then it returned.

When the safety manager finally asked workers why they kept doing it, the answer was not surprising. The line was moving fast, the staging area was tight, and walking around the pallet meant stepping into the travel path more often. The shortcut was not just laziness. It was an adaptation to layout, pace, and space.

The training had focused on the rule. The real issue was the work design.

Once that became clear, the company adjusted staging, clarified the travel path, and had supervisors reinforce the behaviour at the point of work. The shortcut did not disappear because the rule was explained better. It disappeared because the safe choice became more practical.

## **Shortcuts tell you what the system is rewarding**

A shortcut is feedback. It tells you something about the job.

If workers routinely skip a step, the trainer should ask why that step feels skippable. If workers avoid using a control, ask what makes the control awkward. If workers do not report near misses, ask what reporting costs them socially or practically. If workers bypass a procedure during busy periods, ask what the production system is teaching them.

This does not excuse unsafe behaviour. It helps explain it.

Safety trainers sometimes feel pressure to frame shortcuts as personal failures

because that is cleaner. The rule was clear, and the worker broke it. But clean explanations are not always useful explanations. If ten workers repeat the same shortcut across shifts, the issue is probably not ten separate character flaws. It is a pattern.

The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety summarizes a core investigation principle that applies here even outside Canada: the purpose of an investigation is to find facts that lead to corrective actions, not to find fault, and investigators should look for deeper causes rather than simply recording the steps of the event. (ccohs.ca) That same principle should guide training. If the shortcut keeps showing up, the trainer's job is to help the organization understand what is underneath it.

## **Why “they know better” is not a training strategy**

“They know better” may be true. It is also incomplete.

Most workers know they should not rush. They know they should use the right tool. They know they should report hazards. They know they should not stand under suspended loads or reach into equipment or climb without proper setup. Yet injuries still occur in workplaces where the rules have been explained many times.

In 2024, private industry employers in the United States reported approximately 2.5 million nonfatal workplace injuries and illnesses, even though many of those employers had formal training, procedures, inspections, and safety programs in place. (bls.gov) The existence of training does not guarantee safe behaviour. It has to be reinforced through systems, supervision, culture, and work design.

When a trainer hears “they know better,” the better response is, “Then why is the unsafe choice still winning?”

That question changes the conversation. It moves the organization from blame to diagnosis. It invites people to look at conditions, incentives, habits, communication, and supervision. It also gives the trainer a more useful target.

## **The shortcut often appears at the pressure point**

Most shortcuts do not happen randomly. They appear at predictable pressure points.

They show up near the end of a shift when people are tired. They show up when the crew is understaffed. They show up when equipment is unreliable. They show up during changeovers, repairs, deliveries, cleanup, travel, transitions, and “quick” tasks that people underestimate.

That matters because training should spend more time on the pressure point than the rule itself.

If the rule is “use fall protection,” the pressure point may be a short transition where a worker thinks they will only be exposed for a moment. If the rule is “maintain three points of contact,” the pressure point may be climbing while carrying something small. If the rule is “lock out before clearing a jam,” the pressure point may be a minor blockage during peak production when the worker believes stopping fully will create delays.

The trainer should ask, "Where does this rule usually break down?"

That question makes the training practical. Workers know the answer. Supervisors often know it too. The problem is that traditional training rarely asks.

### **Training the shortcut means naming it directly**

Many safety trainers avoid talking about shortcuts too openly because they worry it sounds like they are teaching people how to break rules. In reality, the shortcut is already being taught informally if workers see it happening on the floor.

Silence does not prevent shortcuts. It protects them.

A stronger training approach names the shortcut directly and respectfully. "Here is the rule. Here is the shortcut people sometimes take. Here is why it feels easier. Here is what can happen when conditions change. Now let's talk about what will make the safe choice realistic."

That kind of honesty earns credibility. Experienced workers are more likely to engage because the trainer is acknowledging how work actually happens. Newer workers benefit because they learn that informal shortcuts are not the same as accepted practice. Supervisors benefit because they hear where reinforcement is needed.

The goal is not to shame workers. It is to make the invisible rule visible. Every workplace has written rules and unwritten rules. Training has to bring them into the same room.

### **A better way to use near misses**

Near misses are especially useful for training shortcuts because they show the moment before harm.

A near miss often reveals a shortcut that had been working for months. Someone finally got lucky enough to notice it before the injury occurred. That is valuable information, but only if the organization uses it well.

A weak response says, "Don't do that again."

A stronger response says, "What made that shortcut feel reasonable? What had happened before without consequence? What conditions made the safe method harder? What would have helped the worker choose differently?"

This turns the near miss into a training case. Workers can examine the decision without waiting for an injury. Supervisors can identify where to coach. Safety managers can update job aids, talks, and checklists based on the real pressure point.

Near miss training also reinforces a culture of learning rather than blame. OSHA's recommended practices emphasize worker participation, hazard identification, prevention, control, education, and program evaluation as connected elements of an effective safety and health program. (osha.gov) A near miss should feed that system, not simply trigger a warning.

## **The supervisor's role in breaking shortcut culture**

Shortcut culture does not change unless supervisors are involved.

Workers take cues from supervisors constantly. They notice what supervisors correct, what they ignore, what they praise, and what they rush past. If a supervisor walks by a shortcut without addressing it, the shortcut gains legitimacy. If a supervisor only corrects shortcuts after an incident, workers learn that enforcement is reactive.

Safety trainers need to prepare supervisors before training happens. If the session addresses ladder overreaching, supervisors need to know they are expected to watch for that specific behaviour afterward. If the session addresses bypassing machine guards during jams, supervisors need to know how to pause the task and ask what made the shortcut tempting. If the session addresses near miss reporting, supervisors need to know their first response must encourage learning, not blame.

The trainer should not simply say, "Supervisors need to reinforce this." That is too vague. Supervisors need specific language, observation points, and follow-up expectations.

For example, a supervisor might be coached to say, "I know repositioning takes extra time, but reaching is the shortcut we're trying to eliminate. Climb down and reset. I'll support the time it takes." That sentence matters because it addresses both the rule and the pressure.

## **Why discipline alone rarely fixes shortcuts**

Discipline has a place in safety, especially when someone knowingly disregards a critical requirement or places others at risk. But discipline alone rarely fixes shortcut behaviour that has become normalized.

If the shortcut is widespread, discipline may create resentment and silence. Workers may stop doing it when watched and continue when not watched. They may avoid reporting near misses because they fear consequences. Supervisors may hesitate to document issues because they know the behaviour is common.

That does not build safety. It builds concealment.

A better approach separates individual accountability from system learning. The organization should still set clear standards. It should still intervene when rules are violated. But it should also examine why the shortcut made sense, how often it occurs, and what changes would make the safe behaviour easier.

This balance is important. A culture without accountability becomes permissive. A culture without learning becomes fearful. Safety trainers have to help organizations hold both ideas at once.

## **Make the safe choice easier, not just mandatory**

One of the most practical ways to reduce shortcuts is to make the safe choice easier.

This sounds obvious, but many organizations do the opposite. The unsafe choice

is fast, convenient, and socially accepted. The safe choice is slower, awkward, poorly supported, or physically harder. Then leaders wonder why workers drift toward the shortcut.

If workers are expected to use a tool, the tool needs to be accessible. If workers are expected to report near misses, the process needs to be simple and the response needs to be constructive. If workers are expected to follow a procedure, the procedure needs to match the actual task. If workers are expected to stop work, supervisors need to support the delay when it happens.

Training is more effective when it is paired with friction reduction. The trainer should ask, "What makes the safe choice harder than it needs to be?" Then the organization should fix what it can.

This is how training becomes efficient. Instead of repeating the same message endlessly, the organization removes the conditions that keep defeating the message.

### **Teach workers to recognize the first shortcut, not the last one**

Shortcuts often escalate gradually. A small deviation becomes normal, then a larger one becomes acceptable. By the time a serious incident occurs, the final unsafe act may look obvious, but the culture had been drifting for a long time.

Training should help workers recognize the first shortcut in the chain.

That may be skipping a pre-use inspection because the equipment "was fine yesterday." It may be failing to replace a damaged sign because everyone knows the hazard anyway. It may be using informal hand signals because the radios are unreliable. It may be working around clutter instead of stopping to clear it.

These small shortcuts matter because they train the crew's risk tolerance. Each one says, "This is acceptable here."

A strong trainer helps workers see that safety culture is shaped by what gets normalized, not just by what gets written down.

### **Use stories to make shortcuts feel real**

Shortcuts are often defended because nothing bad happened last time. Stories help break that pattern.

A good shortcut story does not need to be dramatic. In fact, ordinary stories often work better. A worker reaches from a ladder because the task is almost done. A driver skips a walkaround because the route is familiar. A maintenance worker clears a minor jam without locking out because they can see the blockage. A supervisor allows a rushed setup because the team is behind.

The story should focus on the decision point. What made the shortcut attractive? What changed? What did the worker not see? What would have made the safe choice easier?

Stories work because they help workers imagine themselves in the moment. They also create a shared language. After the training, a supervisor can say, "This is the ladder story again," and the crew understands the warning.

SafetyNow-style meeting kits, toolbox talks, and refresher materials are especially useful here because they give supervisors ready-made starting points. The best use of those materials is not to read them word for word and move on. It is to use them as prompts for real workplace stories and crew-specific discussion.

## **How to build a shortcut-focused training session**

A shortcut-focused session should begin with the real behaviour, not the policy.

Start by describing the shortcut plainly. "Today we're talking about the habit of reaching instead of repositioning." Or, "Today we're talking about clearing minor jams without fully stopping the equipment." Workers should immediately recognize the behaviour.

Then explain why the shortcut makes sense. This is important. It shows respect and lowers defensiveness. "It saves time. It feels minor. People have done it before without getting hurt." Once workers hear that the trainer understands the temptation, they are more willing to discuss it honestly.

Next, walk through what changes the risk. Use a story, near miss, or scenario. Show how a familiar shortcut becomes dangerous when one condition shifts.

Then ask workers what makes the safe choice difficult. Capture the answers. Some may be training issues. Some may be supervisor issues. Some may be equipment or layout issues. Do not pretend every barrier can be solved in the room, but do not ignore them either.

Finally, close with a specific behaviour expectation and supervisor follow-up. Workers need to know exactly what will be observed afterward.

## **Measuring whether shortcut training worked**

Completion is not enough. A shortcut-focused session should be measured by whether the shortcut decreases in the field.

That means supervisors and safety managers need to observe the target behaviour. Are workers repositioning ladders instead of reaching? Are they reporting near misses sooner? Are they using the correct tool even when the job is small? Are they stopping equipment instead of clearing jams informally?

Near miss reporting may increase at first, which can be a good sign. It may mean workers are noticing and reporting weak signals that were previously ignored. Hazard observations may also become more specific. Instead of vague reports like "unsafe behaviour," the organization may start seeing reports that identify exactly where shortcut pressure appears.

This is a stronger measure of training effectiveness than attendance alone.

## **Why this approach improves safety culture**

Training for the shortcut changes safety culture because it treats workers like thinking adults. It acknowledges that unsafe behaviour often has a reason, then asks the organization to deal with that reason honestly.

Workers are more likely to engage when training reflects reality. Supervisors are more likely to reinforce expectations when they understand the pressure point. Safety managers are more likely to reduce repeat issues because they are no longer chasing symptoms.

Over time, the culture changes. People begin to talk about shortcuts before they cause harm. Experienced workers become more willing to name informal practices. Newer workers learn that “how we do it here” must match the safety standard, not replace it.

The organization becomes less dependent on reminders because the system starts supporting the right behaviour.

## **The role of SafetyNow in making this practical**

Safety trainers do not need to build every shortcut-focused lesson from scratch. That is not efficient, and it is not realistic.

A platform like SafetyNow can provide the foundation: talks, checklists, training courses, LMS tracking, meeting kits, and refresher tools. Those resources help standardize the message and reduce the burden on safety managers and supervisors. But the strongest results come when those tools are used to spark local conversation.

A meeting kit on ladder safety becomes more effective when the supervisor adds, “Where do we usually reach instead of repositioning?” A lockout refresher becomes more useful when the crew discusses which jams feel too minor to trigger the full procedure. A near miss checklist becomes stronger when it asks what made the shortcut attractive.

SafetyNow’s value is not just content delivery. It is helping organizations create repeatable safety conversations that connect training to real workplace behaviour.

## **Closing perspective**

Workers do not usually take shortcuts because they are trying to be unsafe. They take shortcuts because the shortcut works, until the day it does not.

That is why safety training has to go deeper than the rule. The rule tells people what should happen. Shortcut-focused training examines why something else happens instead.

For safety managers and trainers, this is the path to more effective training. Name the shortcut. Understand the pressure behind it. Use stories and near misses to make the risk real. Involve supervisors. Make the safe choice easier. Reinforce the behaviour after the session.

When training addresses the shortcut directly, workers stop hearing safety as another reminder and start seeing it as a practical conversation about how work really gets done.

That is where behaviour begins to change.